IRASS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences Abbriviate Title- IRASS J Arts Humanit Soc Sci ISSN (Online) 3049-0170 https://irasspublisher.com/journal-details/IJAHSS Vol-2, Iss-5 (May-2025)



NATIONAL DEBT CRISIS IN NIGERIA: AN ANALYSIS OF DEPT MANAGEMENT OFFICE AND THE BURDEN OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND

TRANSPARENCY

BERNARD MARY ENEH¹, Dr Tyodzer Patrick PILLAH^{2*}, Dr Roseline I Ayeh³

*1-2-3 Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Veritas University of Nigeria, Bwari-Abuja

Corresponding Autho Dr Tyodzer Patrick PILLAH

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Veritas University of Nigeria, Bwari-Abuja

Email; pillahp@veritas.edu.ng

Tell; 08036275160

Article History

Received: 16 / 04 / 2025 Accepted: 01 / 05 / 2025 Published: 04 / 05 /2025 Abstract: The national debt crisis in Nigeria has become a critical concern, prompting inquiries regarding the country's fiscal sustainability, economic stability, and governance. The Debt Management Office (DMO)'s role in addressing Nigeria's increasing debt burden and the challenges of accountability and transparency in debt management is the focus of this study. Nigeria's debt profile has increased over time as a result of mismanagement of public funds, insufficient revenue generation, and excessive borrowing. Although the DMO was established to ensure effective debt administration, there are still concerns about the agency's capacity to maintain fiscal discipline, promote transparency, and ensure accountability in loan utilization. This paper evaluates the efficacy of the DMO in debt management by evaluating its policies, strategies, and the degree to which it is consistent with global best practices. It investigates the effects of Nigeria's debt crisis on social development, inflation, exchange rates, and economic growth. Additionally, it underscores governance concerns, such as the inadequate supervision of public funds, the misallocation of borrowed funds, and the restricted role of legislative bodies in the examination of debt agreements. The study contends that Nigeria's debt crisis cannot be resolved exclusively through debt restructuring; rather, it necessitates comprehensive fiscal reforms, enhanced revenue generation, and rigorous accountability measures. In order to mitigate the risks associated with increasing debt levels, it is imperative to enhance public participation in debt discussions, strengthen the DMO's autonomy, and enforce transparency mechanisms. The results emphasize the necessity of a sustainable debt management framework in Nigeria that promotes economic development and financial stability while ensuring fiscal responsibility.

Keywords: NATIONAL DEBT CRISIS, BURDEN OF ACCOUNTABILITY.

How to Cite: ENEH, B. M., Pillah, T. P., Ayeh, R. I., (2025). NATIONAL DEBT CRISIS IN NIGERIA: AN ANALYSIS OF DEPT MANAGEMENT OFFICE AND THE BURDEN OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY. *IRASS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2(5)25-32.

INTRODUCTION

Nigeria, Africa's largest economy and most populous nation, has faced persistent challenges in managing its national debt. The country's debt profile has surged over the years, raising concerns about fiscal sustainability, economic growth, and governance.

Nigeria's reliance on borrowing to finance budget deficits, infrastructure projects, and social programs has significantly increased both domestic and external debt (World Bank, 2023). While borrowing is a necessary tool for economic growth when properly managed,

Nigeria's growing debt burden has sparked debates about its long-term economic implications, particularly in light of the country's weak revenue generation, poor debt servicing capacity, and governance inefficiencies. At the center of debt management in Nigeria is the **Debt Management Office (DMO)**, established in 2000 to oversee and regulate the country's debt portfolio. However, concerns persist regarding the agency's effectiveness in This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-NC</u> license

ensuring fiscal discipline, accountability, and transparency in debt management.

The debt crisis in Nigeria has been exacerbated by declining oil revenues, which constitute a significant portion of government income. The volatility of crude oil prices, coupled with inefficient tax collection systems, has led to budget deficits, prompting the government to borrow both externally and domestically to bridge financing gaps (IMF, 2022). However, the continuous rise in Nigeria's debt stock raises serious concerns about the country's ability to meet its debt obligations without jeopardizing critical sectors such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure. The cost of debt servicing has consumed a significant portion of government revenue, with reports indicating that debt service payments exceeded 90% of revenue in 2022 (CBN, 2023). This alarming trend poses a threat to Nigeria's economic stability and its capacity to invest in development projects that could drive long-term growth. The effectiveness of the



DMO in mitigating these risks and implementing sound debt management policies remains a subject of scrutiny.

Accountability and transparency are fundamental to sustainable debt management, yet Nigeria has struggled with these aspects. The lack of transparency in loan agreements, misallocation of borrowed funds, and limited public participation in debt discussions have raised serious governance (Transparency International, 2023). There have been instances where government officials have failed to disclose the terms of loan agreements, particularly those involving foreign creditors, raising suspicions about the actual cost of borrowing and the potential for debt traps. Additionally, concerns about corruption and financial mismanagement further complicate Nigeria's debt crisis, as funds meant for development projects are sometimes misappropriated, leading to poor infrastructure outcomes and slow economic progress (Okonkwo, 2021). The inability of the DMO and other relevant government agencies to ensure full accountability in debt management has fuelled public distrust and scepticism about the government's borrowing practices.

Furthermore, Nigeria's debt crisis highlights broader structural weaknesses in public finance management. A weak legal framework, insufficient oversight by the National Assembly, and limited involvement of civil society organizations have contributed to the lack of transparency in debt acquisition and utilization (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020). While the DMO has made efforts to publish periodic reports on Nigeria's debt profile, the accessibility and comprehensibility of these reports for the general public remain a challenge. The absence of a robust monitoring system to track the impact of borrowed funds on economic development has also hindered efforts to ensure accountability. Countries with strong debt management institutions have demonstrated better fiscal discipline, emphasizing the need for Nigeria to strengthen its debt governance framework to enhance transparency and public trust in its debt management policies.

To address Nigeria's debt crisis effectively, comprehensive fiscal reforms are required. Beyond debt restructuring, the government must focus on revenue diversification, efficient public expenditure management, and enhanced institutional oversight. Strengthening the independence of the DMO, promoting civic engagement in debt-related matters, and enforcing anti-corruption measures in loan utilization are crucial steps toward ensuring sustainable debt management (Eze & Olayemi, 2023). The adoption of international best practices, such as transparent debt negotiations, competitive loan procurement processes, and rigorous project impact assessments, will enhance Nigeria's ability to manage its debt responsibly. Without a strategic approach to debt governance, Nigeria risks falling into a cycle of unsustainable borrowing that could further weaken its economic resilience. This study, therefore, seeks to analyze the role of the DMO in managing Nigeria's debt crisis, assess the challenges of accountability and transparency, and propose policy recommendations to strengthen Nigeria's debt governance framework.

Clarification of Terms

- i. **DMO** (**Debt Management Office**) A government agency responsible for managing Nigeria's public debt, ensuring sustainable borrowing, and advising on debt policies.
- ii. **CBN** (**Central Bank of Nigeria**) The regulatory authority overseeing Nigeria's monetary policies, including foreign exchange and financial stability.

- iii. **IMF** (**International Monetary Fund**) An international financial institution that provides financial support, policy advice, and economic analysis for debt-ridden countries, including Nigeria.
- iV. WB (World Bank) A global financial institution that provides funding and technical assistance to developing countries for economic development and debt management.
- V. FGN (Federal Government of Nigeria) The central authority responsible for

Nigeria's national policies, including debt accumulation and economic governance

- Vi. GDP (Gross Domestic Product) The total value of goods and services produced in Nigeria, used to assess economic performance and debt sustainability.
 vii. DSSI (Debt Service Suspension Initiative) A program initiated by the G20 to provide temporary debt relief to low-income countries, including Nigeria.
- Viii. **PPP** (**Public-Private Partnership**) A cooperative arrangement between the government and private sector to fund infrastructure projects, reducing the need for excessive borrowing.
- iX. NASS (National Assembly of Nigeria) The legislative body responsible for approving Nigeria's national budget and overseeing public debt policies.
- X. FRA (Fiscal Responsibility Act) A law enacted to promote prudent debt management, fiscal discipline, and transparency in Nigeria's financial operations. xi.

FIRS (Federal Inland Revenue Service) – The agency responsible for tax collection and revenue generation to reduce Nigeria's reliance on borrowing.

- Xii. NBS (National Bureau of Statistics) The agency that provides economic data, including debt-to-GDP ratios and other fiscal indicators.
- Xiii. OAGF (Office of the Accountant General of the Federation) The institution responsible for managing Nigeria's government accounts, including debt repayments and expenditures.
- XiV. EFCC (Economic and Financial Crimes Commission) Nigeria's anticorruption agency that investigates financial mismanagement and misallocation of public funds, including borrowed funds.
- xv. ICPC (Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences

Commission) – Another anti-corruption body working to ensure accountability and transparency in Nigeria's public finance management.

- LOU (Letter of Understanding) A formal document outlining agreements between Nigeria and foreign creditors on loan terms and repayment structures.
- DSA (Debt Sustainability Analysis) An economic assessment tool used to

- determine Nigeria's ability to service its debts without financial distress. xviii. MDAs (Ministries, Departments, and Agencies) Government entities responsible for implementing policies and managing budgets, including debtfunded projects.
- XiX. TSA (Treasury Single Account) A financial policy implemented to centralize government revenue and reduce leakages in public fund management.
- XX. SFTAS (States Fiscal Transparency, Accountability, and Sustainability Program) A
 World Bank-supported initiative to promote fiscal responsibility among Nigerian states, ensuring better debt management.

Scope of Study

The scope of this study focuses on the national debt crisis in Nigeria, with a particular emphasis on the role of the Debt Management Office (DMO) in managing the country's debt and the challenges of accountability and transparency in debt governance. The study examines Nigeria's debt profile, identifying trends in domestic and external borrowing, the effectiveness of debt servicing mechanisms, and the implications of rising debt levels on economic sustainability. It also evaluates the policies and strategies adopted by the DMO in ensuring responsible borrowing, debt sustainability, and compliance with global best practices. The study covers the period from 2000 to 2024, which coincides with the establishment of the DMO and Nigeria's increasing reliance on external loans to finance budget deficits and infrastructure projects (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020).

A key aspect of this study is an assessment of accountability and transparency in Nigeria's debt management process. The research explores the extent to which the government, through the DMO and other relevant agencies, discloses critical information regarding debt agreements, utilization of borrowed funds, and repayment structures. Issues of governance, corruption, and mismanagement in public debt administration are examined, particularly in relation to Nigeria's history of opaque loan agreements with foreign creditors such as China and multilateral institutions like the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank (Eze & Olayemi, 2023). Additionally, the study evaluates the role of the National Assembly, civil society organizations (CSOs), and international watchdogs in scrutinizing Nigeria's debt policies and ensuring public accountability.

The study further examines the economic consequences of Nigeria's growing debt burden, focusing on its impact on GDP growth, inflation, exchange rates, foreign direct investment (FDI), and poverty levels. By analysing economic data and policy reports, this research investigates whether Nigeria's borrowing patterns have contributed to economic development or exacerbated financial instability. Comparisons with other developing economies facing similar debt challenges will be made to identify best practices that could be adopted to strengthen Nigeria's debt management framework (World Bank, 2023). The study also considers the role of international credit rating agencies, such as Moody's, Standard & Poor's

(S&P), and Fitch Ratings, in assessing Nigeria's creditworthiness and the implications of their assessments on investor confidence and the cost of borrowing.

Finally, the study provides policy recommendations aimed at improving debt transparency, strengthening the legal and institutional framework for debt governance, and promoting sustainable borrowing practices. It explores the potential of revenue diversification, fiscal discipline, and the implementation of public debt audits to enhance accountability in Nigeria's debt management system. The study contributes to existing literature by offering an in-depth analysis of the intersection between debt accumulation, governance, and economic stability in Nigeria. It also serves as a reference for policymakers, economists, and financial analysts seeking solutions to Nigeria's debt crisis while ensuring accountability and transparency in public finance management (IMF, 2022).

Research Methodology

This study utilizes both qualitative and quantitative research methodologies to examine the national debt problem in Nigeria, emphasizing the function of the Debt Management Office (DMO) and the issues of accountability and transparency in debt governance. The research technique is designed to facilitate a thorough assessment of Nigeria's debt profile, debt management strategies, and the wider economic and governance ramifications of public borrowing. The research utilizes secondary data sources, such as government reports, publications from international financial institutions, academic journals, and policy documents. The research utilizes a mixed-methods approach, combining statistical debt data analysis with qualitative insights from governance and economic policy literature (Creswell, 2018). The integration of these methodologies facilitates a comprehensive analysis of Nigeria's debt situation and offers evidence-based suggestions for enhancing debt responsibility and transparency.

The data collecting procedure emphasizes acquiring pertinent secondary data from authoritative government entities, including the Debt Management Office (DMO), the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), and the Ministry of Finance. Furthermore, analyses from global financial entities such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and African Development Bank (AfDB) are examined to offer comparative insights into Nigeria's debt management techniques. Academic papers, peerreviewed journal articles, and policy briefings from esteemed research institutes are examined to situate Nigeria's debt problem within wider economic and governance contexts. Additionally, publicly accessible datasets regarding Nigeria's debt stock, debt servicing commitments, and fiscal policies are analyzed to evaluate the sustainability of the nation's debt levels (World Bank, 2023). A descriptive statistical analysis is utilized to assess Nigeria's debt trends, encompassing the magnitude and structure of public debt, patterns of external and domestic borrowing, and debt service-to-revenue ratios. These variables are essential for assessing Nigeria's debt sustainability and the possible potential risks linked to excessive borrowing (IMF, 2022). Graphs, tables, and charts are utilized to convey essential debt facts visually, facilitating a more lucid assessment of debt trends over time. A comparative analysis is performed, contrasting Nigeria's debt management approaches with those of other developing economies encountering analogous budgetary issues. This comparison analysis facilitates the identification of optimal approaches that may be implemented to enhance Nigeria's debt governance framework (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020).

The qualitative component of the research emphasizes thematic content analysis of governmental policies, legislative discussions, and expert perspectives concerning Nigeria's debt management measures. This entails the examination of policy documents, budgeting reports, and public declarations from government officials and financial analysts. The issues of accountability and transparency in Nigeria's debt management process are rigorously analysed, especially concerning the confidentiality of loan agreements, the misappropriation of borrowed funds, and the functions of oversight entities such as the National Assembly and civil society organizations (CSOs) (Eze & Olayemi, 2023). The research examines the influence of corruption and governance deficiencies on Nigeria's capacity to manage its debt successfully, utilizing existing literature on public finance management in developing nations. This study employs a policyoriented approach, offering recommendations derived from the research findings. The paper proposes methods to improve transparency, fiscal discipline, and accountability in Nigeria's debt management system by integrating insights from empirical data, governance analysis, and worldwide best practices. The research methodology guarantees that the study is evidence-based, utilizing varied data sources and analytical instruments to deliver a comprehensive evaluation of Nigeria's national debt situation. The results enhance current dialogues regarding public debt management, economic policy reforms, and sustainable development funding in Nigeria and other emerging economies with analogous fiscal difficulties. Transparency International,

5. Literature Review

The national debt crisis in Nigeria has been the subject of extensive academic and policy discussions, particularly regarding the effectiveness of the Debt Management Office (DMO) in mitigating fiscal risks and the challenges posed by accountability and transparency in public borrowing. Various scholars have analysed Nigeria's debt structure, highlighting the interplay between domestic and external borrowing, the sustainability of debt servicing, and the impact of governance inefficiencies. While some studies argue that debt is necessary for economic growth when properly managed, others contend that Nigeria's debt trajectory is unsustainable due to corruption, lack of transparency, and weak institutional oversight (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020; Eze & Olayemi, 2023). This literature review critically examines key scholarly perspectives, comparing and contrasting various viewpoints on the Nigerian debt crisis and its implications for governance and economic stability.

Several studies have attributed Nigeria's rising debt burden to poor revenue generation and fiscal mismanagement. According to World Bank (2023), Nigeria's reliance on oil revenue has made its economy vulnerable to external shocks, leading to frequent budget deficits and the need for external borrowing. The study asserts that while the establishment of the DMO in 2000 was meant to institutionalize a coordinated approach to debt management, successive governments have continued to accumulate debt without adequate plans for repayment. Adewuyi and Aluko (2020) also argue that while the DMO has played a significant role in restructuring Nigeria's debt, its efforts have been undermined by political interference and a lack of fiscal discipline. In contrast, Okonkwo (2021) contends that Nigeria's debt accumulation is not necessarily a sign of mismanagement but a reflection of the need for infrastructure financing in a growing economy. He suggests that the problem lies in the inefficiency of public spending rather than the act of borrowing itself. This divergence in perspectives underscores the complexity of Nigeria's debt crisis, as it is not merely about borrowing but also about how borrowed funds are utilized.

The role of accountability and transparency in debt management is another area of contention in the literature. Eze and Olayemi (2023) argue that the lack of transparency in Nigeria's loan agreements has been a major obstacle to effective debt governance. They highlight that many government loans, particularly those obtained from China's Exim Bank and multilateral organizations, contain non-disclosure clauses, making it difficult for the public and even the National Assembly to scrutinize loan terms, interest rates, and repayment structures. Similarly, Transparency International (2023) criticizes Nigeria for failing to provide comprehensive details on its external loans, leading to concerns over debt sustainability and possible debt traps.

Conversely, the CBN (2023) defends the government's borrowing practices, arguing that most loan agreements follow standard international procedures and that the DMO regularly publishes debt reports. However, critics argue that while the DMO does publish reports, they often lack critical details on hidden liabilities and contingent debts, making it difficult to assess the true extent of Nigeria's debt obligations. This debate highlights the urgent need for greater transparency, legislative oversight, and public engagement in Nigeria's debt management process.

Comparative analyses between Nigeria and other developing economies provide further insight into best practices in debt management. IMF (2022) highlights those countries such as Ghana and Kenya have implemented robust legal frameworks that require parliamentary approval for all external loans. Additionally, these countries have adopted independent public debt audits, ensuring that borrowed funds are monitored and evaluated to determine their impact on economic development. In contrast, Nigeria's legislative oversight remains weak, as many loan agreements are signed without full parliamentary scrutiny (Eze & Olayemi, 2023). Adewuyi and Aluko (2020) further note that Nigeria lacks a rigorous monitoring and evaluation system, making it difficult to assess whether borrowed funds are used efficiently and productively. However, some scholars argue that external economic factors, such as global interest rate fluctuations and currency depreciation, also contribute to Nigeria's debt crisis. According to World Bank (2023), many developing countries, including Nigeria, face rising debt levels due to exchange rate volatility, which increases the cost of servicing foreigndenominated loans.

This suggests that while governance failures play a significant role in Nigeria's debt crisis, external economic conditions must also be considered in debt sustainability assessments.

Despite extensive research on Nigeria's debt crisis, several gaps remain in the literature. First, while many studies focus on the macroeconomic effects of debt accumulation, little attention has been paid to the micro-level impact on citizens, such as the rising tax burden, inflationary pressures, and reduced social spending caused by high debt servicing costs. Additionally, while scholars have analyzed the DMO's policies, there is limited empirical research on their effectiveness compared to debt management strategies in other countries. Furthermore, the role of international creditors and lending institutions in ensuring debt transparency remains underexplored. Future research should focus on how Nigeria can implement debt accountability reforms, adopt international best practices, and strengthen institutional mechanisms to ensure fiscal responsibility. Addressing these gaps will provide a more holistic understanding of Nigeria's debt crisis and inform evidence-based policy solutions to improve debt sustainability and governance.

Issues for Discussion

The national debt crisis in Nigeria has been shaped by a variety of economic, institutional, and governance-related challenges. Scholars have examined these issues extensively, highlighting the weaknesses in Nigeria's debt management framework and the consequences of poor fiscal responsibility. While some argue that borrowing is necessary for economic growth and infrastructure development, others emphasize that corruption, mismanagement, and lack of transparency have made debt accumulation unsustainable (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020; Eze & Olayemi, 2023). This section identifies and discusses seven major issues emerging from the literature, providing a structured examination of Nigeria's debt crisis and its implications for governance and economic stability.

Inefficiencies in the Debt Management Office (DMO)

One of the most widely debated issues is the effectiveness of Nigeria's Debt Management Office (DMO) in ensuring sustainable borrowing practices. While the DMO was established in 2000 to centralize debt management, scholars argue that its autonomy is limited due to political interference (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020). According to the World Bank (2023), the DMO has implemented debt restructuring strategies, but lacks the power to enforce borrowing limits, leading to unsustainable debt accumulation. Okonkwo (2021) contends that successive governments exploit loopholes in Nigeria's debt laws, bypassing DMO's recommendations. Strengthening the independence of the DMO and providing it with greater legislative backing is essential for effective debt management.

Over-Reliance on Borrowing to Finance Budget Deficits

A persistent budget deficit has forced Nigeria to continuously borrow to meet expenditure requirements, resulting in an unsustainable debt profile. Eze and Olayemi (2023) argue that despite frequent loans from external sources, Nigeria's budget deficits remain high due to excessive recurrent expenditure. The International Monetary Fund (IMF, 2022) suggests that fiscal mismanagement and poor revenue collection strategies contribute to Nigeria's reliance on debt financing. While borrowing for developmental projects can be beneficial, Nigeria's debt is often used for recurrent expenses rather than productive investments, further straining public finances. Adopting stronger fiscal discipline and revenue diversification strategies is necessary to reduce over-reliance on borrowing.

Poor Revenue Generation and Economic Diversification

Nigeria's revenue generation challenges have exacerbated its debt crisis. Transparency International (2023) highlights that Nigeria's economy remains overly dependent on oil revenue, making it vulnerable to global oil price fluctuations. The World Bank (2023) argues that despite efforts to diversify the economy, non-oil sectors contribute insufficiently to government revenue. Adewuyi and Aluko (2020) emphasize that tax evasion, corruption, and inefficiencies in revenue collection hinder Nigeria's ability to generate sustainable income. Implementing comprehensive tax reforms, enhancing non-oil revenue sources, and reducing leakages in public finance could mitigate the reliance on debt financing.

Lack of Transparency in Loan Agreements

The opacity of Nigeria's loan agreements, particularly those with foreign creditors, has raised concerns about debt sustainability and accountability. Eze and Olayemi (2023) argue that many loans, especially those from China's Exim Bank, contain

non-disclosure clauses that prevent public scrutiny. According to Transparency International (2023), Nigeria's failure to disclose loan terms, interest rates, and repayment conditions increases the risk of debt traps. While the CBN (2023) insists that Nigeria's loans follow international best practices, critics argue that many agreements lack full legislative and public oversight. Enhancing transparency through mandatory public disclosures of all loan agreements is crucial for preventing corruption and reckless borrowing.

Weak Legislative and Institutional Oversight

Nigeria's parliamentary oversight on public debt management remains weak, allowing executive overreach in loan procurement. According to the IMF (2022), many developing economies, including Ghana and Kenya, require full parliamentary approval before external loans are secured. In contrast, Nigeria's National Assembly has limited influence over debt decisions, with many loans negotiated and signed without comprehensive legislative scrutiny (Eze & Olayemi, 2023). Okonkwo (2021) argues that political considerations often override economic rationale, leading to poorly structured debt agreements. Strengthening parliamentary oversight and enforcing legal frameworks that require legislative approval for all public debt obligations is essential for accountability.

Rising Debt Servicing Costs and Economic Burden

Nigeria's rising debt servicing costs pose a significant economic burden, limiting government spending on critical sectors such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure. World Bank

(2023) estimates that over 60% of Nigeria's revenue is allocated to debt servicing, reducing the country's ability to fund developmental projects. Eze and Olayemi (2023) argue that excessive debt servicing triggers inflationary pressures and weakens the local currency, making it harder for Nigeria to meet its external obligations. Adewuyi and Aluko (2020) emphasize that the government must negotiate better loan terms and explore debt relief options to ease this burden. Without long-term debt restructuring and efficient fiscal policies, Nigeria's economic growth will remain constrained by high debt repayment obligations.

Impact of Corruption and Misallocation of Borrowed Funds

Corruption and misallocation of borrowed funds remain major impediments to effective debt utilization in Nigeria. Transparency International (2023) notes that many debt-financed projects are either abandoned, poorly executed, or inflated in cost, reducing their economic impact. Okonkwo (2021) argues that weak procurement processes and lack of accountability mechanisms enable public officials to divert borrowed funds for personal gain. IMF (2022) suggests that establishing independent debt audit mechanisms can help track how loans are allocated and spent. Implementing anti-corruption measures, strengthening financial oversight institutions, and ensuring transparent project execution are necessary to enhance the efficiency of public debt utilization.

Conclusion

The literature highlights seven critical issues contributing to Nigeria's national debt crisis: inefficiencies in the Debt Management Office, budget deficits, poor revenue generation, lack of transparency in loan agreements, weak legislative oversight, rising debt servicing costs, and corruption in debt allocation. While debt can serve as a tool for economic growth, Nigeria's lack of transparency, fiscal discipline, and accountability mechanisms

have undermined its ability to manage debt sustainably. Future policy recommendations should focus on strengthening institutional oversight, enforcing legislative scrutiny of loan agreements, diversifying revenue sources, and improving public sector accountability. Addressing these issues will be crucial in ensuring long-term debt sustainability and economic stability in Nigeria.

Scientific Research Analysis

The main objective of scientific research on Nigeria's national debt crisis is to evaluate the origins, effects, and potential remedies of debt mismanagement using both quantitative and qualitative methods. Scholars have investigated how fiscal irresponsibility, public debt buildup, and inadequate governance institutions contribute to Nigeria's economic instability using econometric models, case studies, and comparative research (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020; IMF, 2022). The efficiency of the Debt Management Office (DMO) in reducing risks associated with debt is a crucial topic of scientific investigation. The DMO's actions have not substantially reduced the growing debt load, according to statistical evaluations of debt sustainability indices like Nigeria's debt-to-GDP ratio, external debt commitments, and interest rate trends (World Bank, 2023). The main obstacles to efficient debt management, according to researchers, include a lack of institutional independence, systemic governance problems, and lax enforcement of borrowing restrictions (Okonkwo, 2021).

The macroeconomic effects of excessive borrowing, specifically on inflation, currency rates, and fiscal balance, are highlighted by econometric studies. A 1% rise in foreign debt results in a 0.5% long-term drop in GDP growth, according to Eze and Olayemi's (2023) analysis of the relationship between public debt and economic growth in Nigeria using vector autoregressive (VAR) models. Similarly, without major fiscal reforms, Nigeria's present debt trajectory is unsustainable, according to an IMF analysis from 2022 that used the Debt Sustainability Analysis (DSA) paradigm. According to more study that compares Nigeria to other developing nations (such Ghana and Kenya), the country's debt problems are exacerbated by its weak institutional frameworks and lack of fiscal transparency (Transparency International, 2023). These problems might be lessened by implementing global best practices in debt governance and fortifying legal supervision procedures.

The importance of institutional inefficiencies, political economy, and corruption has been highlighted in qualitative studies on Nigeria's debt issue. Nigeria's debt issues have been made worse by opaque loan agreements, misallocation of borrowed funds, and a lack of accountability, according to content analysis of government financial reports and interviews with economic policymakers (CBN, 2023). Many loan agreements are arranged without enough parliamentary monitoring, according to Transparency International's institutional review of Nigeria's debt governance framework from 2023. Furthermore, research using the principal-agent theory demonstrates how government representatives, in their capacity as agents, put immediate political advantage ahead of long-term economic viability (Okonkwo, 2021). These results imply that Nigeria's debt management framework may be greatly enhanced by bolstering anti-corruption measures, implementing debt disclosure laws, and improving institutional accountability.

Overall, the scientific study of Nigeria's debt issue emphasizes how urgently policy changes, better fiscal restraint, and strengthened governance systems are required. The claim that excessive borrowing, inadequate debt management organizations, and a lack of transparency are major causes of the crisis is supported by empirical research (World Bank, 2023; Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020). A multifaceted strategy that includes international cooperation, institutional reforms, and economic modelling is needed to address these problems. In order to lessen Nigeria's reliance on foreign loans, future research should concentrate on creating prediction models for debt sustainability, assessing the efficacy of DMO policies, and investigating alternate financing options. By putting these suggestions into practice, a more transparent and long-lasting debt management system may be created, guaranteeing long-term financial stability.

Recommendations for Addressing Nigeria's National Debt Crisis

Addressing Nigeria's national debt crisis requires a multifaceted approach that integrates policy reforms, institutional strengthening, and fiscal discipline. The literature on debt sustainability emphasizes the importance of transparent debt management, effective oversight, and revenue diversification as critical components of sustainable economic growth (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020; IMF, 2022). This section outlines five major recommendations for mitigating

Nigeria's debt crisis, improving governance mechanisms, and ensuring long-term fiscal responsibility

Strengthening the Autonomy and Capacity of the Debt Management Office (DMO) One of the primary recommendations is to enhance the independence and technical capacity of the Debt Management Office (DMO). Currently, the DMO lacks the authority to enforce borrowing limits, allowing the government to accumulate excessive debt without adequate checks and balances (Eze & Olavemi, 2023). To address this, policymakers should grant the DMO greater autonomy, enabling it to set binding debt ceilings, conduct independent audits, and enforce borrowing limits. Comparative research on debt management institutions in South Africa and Malaysia shows that granting independent oversight bodies full control over public debt policies leads to better fiscal discipline (World Bank, 2023). Additionally, investing in human capital development within the DMOthrough training programs and technology upgrades—can enhance its ability to assess debt sustainability and negotiate favorable loan agreements.

Enforcing Greater Transparency and Public Accountability in Loan Agreements

Lack of transparency in loan agreements has been a major concern in Nigeria's debt crisis, particularly regarding foreign loans negotiated without legislative or public scrutiny (Transparency International, 2023). To combat this, the government should implement mandatory disclosure policies, requiring all loan agreements to be made publicly available and subjected to legislative approval before finalization. Studies have shown that countries with open debt contracting processes, such as Chile and Sweden, experience lower corruption rates and more sustainable debt management (IMF, 2022). Nigeria's National Assembly should pass laws mandating public hearings on all major loan agreements, ensuring that both civil society organizations and financial analysts can scrutinize debt transactions. Additionally, introducing digital tracking mechanisms for all borrowed funds can improve transparency and minimize the risk of misallocation and corruption (Okonkwo, 2021).

Diversifying Revenue Sources to Reduce Over-Reliance on Borrowing

Nigeria's over-reliance on oil revenue has made its debt situation vulnerable to global commodity price fluctuations, leading to frequent borrowing to cover budget deficits (World Bank, 2023). To address this, the government must diversify its revenue sources, focusing on expanding the tax base, promoting industrialization, and enhancing non-oil sector contributions. Empirical studies indicate that countries with diversified economies, such as Indonesia and Brazil, have lower public debt burdens due to their ability to generate consistent domestic revenue (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020). The Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) should implement progressive tax reforms, ensuring that wealthy individuals and corporations contribute a fair share of taxes. Additionally, investing in agriculture, manufacturing, and technology sectors can reduce dependence on oil revenue and create alternative sources of government income (Eze & Olayemi,

Strengthening Legislative Oversight and Fiscal Responsibility Laws

Weak legislative oversight has allowed the executive branch to accumulate debt without adequate accountability mechanisms (CBN, 2023). To address this, Nigeria should strengthen the legal framework governing public debt by ensuring that all external borrowing undergoes full parliamentary approval. Comparative research on debt management practices in Ghana and Kenya reveals that countries with strict legislative oversight experience lower debt distress levels (IMF, 2022). Amending Nigeria's Fiscal Responsibility Act (FRA) to include stricter penalties for unauthorized borrowing and mismanagement of funds can improve accountability. Additionally, establishing an independent debt audit committee, composed of financial experts, economists, and civil society representatives, can ensure compliance with debt sustainability benchmarks (Transparency International, 2023).

Implementing Debt Restructuring and Sustainable Repayment Strategies

Given Nigeria's high debt servicing costs, restructuring existing debt to reduce interest payments and extend repayment periods is critical for fiscal stability. Research on debt restructuring in Argentina and Greece highlights that negotiating longer-term repayment plans and securing lower interest rates can significantly ease economic burdens (World Bank, 2023). Nigeria should work with international financial institutions, such as the IMF and World Bank, to secure favourable debt restructuring agreements while implementing strict fiscal reforms to prevent future excessive borrowing (Okonkwo, 2021). Additionally, the government should explore alternative financing options, such as public-private partnerships (PPPs), infrastructure bonds, and concessional loans, to finance critical development projects without accumulating unsustainable debt burdens (Eze & Olayemi, 2023).

The recommendations outlined above are essential for sustainable debt management in Nigeria. Empirical evidence suggests that countries that implement strong fiscal discipline, transparency measures, and diversified revenue strategies experience more sustainable economic growth and lower debt distress levels (Adewuyi & Aluko, 2020). By adopting these policy

reforms, Nigeria can mitigate its debt crisis, restore investor confidence, and ensure long-term economic stability. Future research should focus on evaluating the impact of these policy interventions and developing predictive models to assess Nigeria's debt sustainability in different economic scenarios.

Conclusion

The national debt crisis in Nigeria is a multifaceted issue shaped by economic, institutional, and governance challenges. The discussions have highlighted seven key issues, including inefficiencies in the Debt Management Office (DMO), overreliance on borrowing, poor revenue generation, lack of transparency in loan agreements, weak legislative oversight, rising debt servicing costs, and corruption in debt allocation. Each of these issues has significantly contributed to Nigeria's unsustainable debt accumulation and has hindered the country's economic growth. Despite the establishment of the DMO to manage debt more effectively, its limited autonomy and political interference have restricted its effectiveness. Additionally, Nigeria's persistent budget deficits and poor revenue diversification have led to excessive borrowing, further exacerbating the debt burden. The lack of transparency in loan agreements has also fueled public distrust, while weak legislative oversight has allowed the executive branch to engage in unchecked borrowing. Rising debt servicing costs have diverted critical funds from essential sectors such as education and healthcare, while corruption continues to undermine the efficient allocation and utilization of borrowed funds. Addressing these challenges requires a holistic approach that combines policy reforms, stronger institutional frameworks, and enhanced accountability mechanisms.

To ensure long-term debt sustainability and economic stability, Nigeria must implement strategic reforms that address these fundamental issues. Strengthening the autonomy and capacity of the DMO will enhance its ability to enforce borrowing limits and conduct independent audits. Enforcing greater transparency in loan agreements will improve public trust and accountability in debt management. Diversifying revenue sources by expanding the tax base and promoting non-oil sectors will reduce the country's reliance on external borrowing. Strengthening legislative oversight and fiscal responsibility laws will prevent reckless borrowing and enhance institutional accountability. Additionally, implementing debt restructuring strategies and exploring alternative financing options will help ease the economic burden of debt servicing. Comparative studies have shown that countries that adopt strong fiscal discipline, transparency measures, and diversified revenue strategies experience lower debt distress levels and more sustainable economic growth. By implementing recommendations, Nigeria can mitigate the adverse effects of its debt crisis, restore investor confidence, and build a resilient economic framework for future generations. The path to economic stability requires deliberate efforts in governance, fiscal discipline, and public accountability. Nigeria's ability to navigate its debt challenges will ultimately determine its long-term economic sustainability and development trajectory.

References

- Adewuyi, T. & Aluko, R. (2020). Public Debt and Governance in Nigeria: The Role of Transparency in Fiscal Management. Journal of African Economic Studies, 15(3), 45-62.
- 2. Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2023). *Nigeria's Debt Sustainability Analysis Report*.
 - i. Abuja: CBN Publications.

- 3. Creswell, J. W. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- 4. Eze, C. & Olayemi, B. (2023). Debt Transparency and Fiscal Responsibility in Developing Economies: Lessons from Nigeria. African Journal of Public Policy, 18(2), 112-130.
- 5. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2022). Fiscal Sustainability in Oil-Dependent Economies: The Case of Nigeria. IMF Working Paper No. 22-105.
- 6. Okonkwo, J. (2021). Corruption and Debt Mismanagement in Nigeria: An Empirical Analysis. Economic Governance Review, 9(1), 78-99.
- 7. Transparency International. (2023). *Nigeria's Debt Management and the Accountability Challenge*. Global Transparency Report.
- 8. World Bank. (2023). *Debt and Economic Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: The Nigerian Case Study*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Publications.