IRASS Journal of Economics and Business Management
https://irasspublisher.com/journal-details/IRASSJEBM
ISSN *(Online) 3049-1320*

SOME ASPECTS OF EDUCATION IN HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT

Vladimirs Reiskarts[1*], Marina Romele[2]

[*1-2] Riga Aeronautical Institute

Corresponding Author **Vladimirs Reiskarts**

Riga Aeronautical Institute

Article History

Received: 14 / 05 / 2025

Accepted: 30 / 05 / 2025

Published: 03 / 06 / 2025

Abstract: Purpose. This article examines how education enhances human capital and contributes to economic and social progress. By fostering skills development, knowledge transfer, and adaptability, education increases workforce productivity and supports innovation. Individuals with higher education levels tend to achieve better employment outcomes, higher earnings, and improved health and well-being. Education has broader societal benefits, such as promoting civic participation and reducing crime. However, unequal access to quality education can deepen income inequality. The paper highlights the importance of continuous learning and inclusive educational policies as essential tools for building a skilled, adaptable labour force and driving sustainable economic development.

Design/methodology. The conceptual objective of this paper is to outline the original approach to the multifaceted role of education in human capital holistically shaping. Justification of the long-term effects of educational reforms; the impact of education on labour market and social integration; the significance of transversal skills in the digital economy; institutional and regional factors influencing educational outcomes are the tasks on the path to objective.

Findings. Critical analysis of a concept using the literature as data and hybrid descriptive method with narrative literature review were implemented for assigned tasks realization. Offered study positions education not only as a private investment but as a foundational driver of inclusive and sustainable socioeconomic development.

Originality. The reviewed literature provides robust evidence that education plays a transformative role in human capital development, but the magnitude and nature of its effects vary substantially depending on socioeconomic context, policy design, and institutional capacity. The activities described in this article point to the limitations of formal access-focused policies if they are not accompanied by targeted support mechanisms and institutional reforms.

Keywords: Education, Human Capital, Workforce Productivity, Innovation, Lifelong Learning, Economic Growth

How to Cite in APA format: Reiskarts, V., Romele, M., (2025). SOME ASPECTS OF EDUCATION IN HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT. *IRASS Journal of Economics and Business Management. 2(6),1-5.*

Introduction

Human capital development is a key determinant of economic growth, innovation potential, and long-term social sustainability in modern societies. Human capital encompasses the accumulated skills, knowledge, health, and abilities of individuals, which contribute to productivity and economic participation. Education, as the primary mechanism for building human capital, plays a far-reaching role in improving individual well-being, labor productivity, institutional development, and macroeconomic performance (Becker, 1993; Hanushek & Woessmann, 2008). Moreover, in September 2015, the United Nations General Assembly formally adopted the Agenda for Sustainable Development (United Nations: Sustainable development Agenda, 2015). This comprises a set of 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), to address the global challenges the world is facing, including those related to poverty, inequality, education quality degradation, peace and justice. Among all 17 goals, there are several that are deeply connected with challenges in education, economy and social affairs. These include: good health and well-being (SGD 3), quality education (SDG 4), decent work and economic growth (SDG 8), partnerships for the goals achievement (SDG 17) (United Nations: Sustainable Development Goals, 2015).

Extensive empirical research has shown that higher levels of education are associated with increased income (Psacharopoulos & Patrinos, 2018), lower unemployment risk (Oreopoulos & Petronijevic, 2013), improved health outcomes (Cutler & Lleras-Muney, 2010), and greater civic engagement (Dee, 2004). At the national and regional levels, educational attainment correlates positively with GDP per capita, innovation activity, and intergenerational mobility (Barro & Lee, 2013; Acemoglu & Autor, 2011).

Republic of China offers a compelling case illustrating the long-term impact of education policy. Research by Zhang (2023) reveals that the reinstatement of the *gaokao* (National College Entrance Examination) in 1977 significantly increased educational attainment, improved occupational structure, and raised average lifetime income by over 50% for affected cohorts. Similarly, the Central and Western Higher Education Revitalization Plan contributed to regional innovation by enhancing university quality, research capacity, and collaboration between academia and industry, particularly in small and mid-sized cities (Yang, Ma & Tan, 2025).

In today's rapidly evolving labor markets, education must extend beyond disciplinary knowledge. Transversal competences—

such as critical thinking, collaboration, communication, adaptability, and digital literacy—have emerged as key enablers of innovation and employability, particularly within STEM fields (Mezinska et al., 2024; OECD, 2019). As technological change and automation continue to reshape job demands, the development of these cross-cutting skills is increasingly central to building a resilient and future-ready workforce.

Nonetheless, despite the recognized economic benefits of education, substantial disparities in access, quality, and outcomes persist. Educational inequality remains a barrier to social mobility and regional cohesion, especially in developing or structurally diverse regions. In Italy, for example, students from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds face greater academic challenges and a higher risk of dropout (Usala, Sulis & Porcu, 2025). These findings highlight the importance of not only expanding access to education but also improving its quality and alignment with labor market needs.

This paper aims to explore the multifaceted role of education in shaping human capital, focusing on:

- The long-term effects of educational reforms;
- The impact of education on labor market and social integration;
- The significance of transversal skills in the digital economy;

Institutional and regional factors influencing educational outcomes. In doing so, this study positions education not only as a private investment but as a foundational driver of inclusive and sustainable socioeconomic development. Human capital is widely recognized as a key determinant of both individual success and national economic performance. Conceptually, it refers to the accumulation of skills, knowledge, health, and other attributes that enhance an individual's productivity and ability to contribute to economic and social development. The foundations of this concept were laid by Becker (1993) and Mincer (1974), who emphasized that education represents an investment in future income and efficiency. Subsequent research by Hanushek and Woessmann (2008) highlighted that not only the quantity of education but also its quality—especially in terms of cognitive skills—plays a central role in explaining long-term differences in economic growth across countries.

Analytical approaches to understanding the impact of education on human capital development can be broadly divided into microeconomic and macroeconomic perspectives. At the micro level, returns to education are typically measured by analyzing income gains associated with additional years of schooling. Studies have consistently found that individuals with higher levels of education tend to experience better labor market outcomes, including higher wages and lower unemployment (Psacharopoulos & Patrinos, 2018; Oreopoulos & Petronijevic, 2013). On the macro level, endogenous growth models integrate human capital as a fundamental factor driving innovation and technological progress. Cross-country evidence supports the idea that increased educational attainment is positively associated with higher GDP per capita and improved national competitiveness (Barro & Lee, 2013; Acemoglu & Autor, 2011).

Importantly, education yields both private and social returns. Beyond higher personal earnings, it contributes to broader societal benefits such as improved health (Cutler & Lleras Muney, 2010), lower crime rates, and greater civic engagement (Dee, 2004). However, these advantages are not equally distributed. Individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often face systemic barriers to accessing high-quality education, leading to entrenched patterns of inequality that can persist across generations (Blanden & Machin, 2004; Ferreira & Gignoux, 2011). This duality means that while education can function as a tool for social mobility, it can also reinforce existing disparities when access and quality are uneven.

By incorporating knowledge and perspectives from various disciplines, holistic approaches can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the complex interactions between technology, society, and quality education. This can require more effective interdisciplinary interventions and solutions to address the challenges and global transitions.The integration of mentioned competences into educational systems is an emerging policy priority, although challenges remain in aligning curricula with labor market needs (Reiskarts, 2024).

Lastly, a growing body of research underscores the persistent influence of socioeconomic status on educational outcomes. Data from countries such as Italy show that students' success in higher education is significantly influenced by the quality of their secondary education and their family background (Usala, Sulis & Porcu, 2025). These findings reinforce the importance of addressing educational inequality not only through broader access, but also through structural reforms aimed at improving the inclusiveness and adaptability of educational institutions.

In sum, this theoretical framework emphasizes that education is both a personal asset and a public good. Its role in shaping human capital is multifaceted, involving individual capabilities, institutional structures, and broader socioeconomic factors. Understanding these dynamics is essential for designing effective policies that maximize the developmental impact of education.

Building upon the theoretical understanding of education as a fundamental driver of human capital, the empirical literature has provided extensive evidence on the economic and social returns to educational investment, as well as on the broader implications of educational policy and inequality. Numerous studies emphasize that the expansion and improvement of education systems yield considerable benefits for individuals and societies alike. Psacharopoulos and Patrinos (2018), in a comprehensive global review, demonstrate that each additional year of schooling is associated with significant increases in earnings, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. These private returns are consistently highest at the tertiary level and tend to be even more pronounced for women, reinforcing education's role as both an economic investment and a mechanism for empowerment.

Data from OECD countries further validate these findings, revealing strong correlations between higher educational attainment and favourable labour market outcomes such as higher employment rates, greater income stability, and lower exposure to job-related risks (OECD, 2020). Beyond monetary returns, education is also linked to improvements in public health, civic engagement, and general well-being (Cutler & Lleras-Muney, 2010; Dee, 2004), thereby extending its impact well beyond the economic domain.

The literature also underscores the transformative power of education policy, particularly when implemented at scale. For example, the reinstatement of the gaokao university entrance examination in China in 1977 has served as a natural experiment for evaluating the long-term effects of expanding access to higher education. Zhang (2023) finds that affected cohorts not only achieved higher educational levels but also enjoyed substantial long-term income gains and were more likely to access high-status occupations, especially in their early careers. Complementing these findings, the Central and Western Higher Education Revitalization Plan in China has shown measurable success in improving the quality of higher education in previously underserved regions. As shown by Yang, Ma, and Tan (2025), the policy strengthened university capacity, promoted research activity, and catalysed university–industry collaboration, all of which contributed to regional innovation and economic restructuring. One of the important problems of the modern world in the socio-economic aspect is integration of the younger generation "Z" and "Alpha" into the development of modern areas of science and technology. The current economy and job market are major sources of uncertainty for this generation.

The intersection of different modern technologies is a promising and rapidly evolving field with significant potential for addressing global industrial challenges. Universities as centres of education play a crucial role in advancing interdisciplinary research and knowledge in this domain (Duisenbekova, Makpal, 2023).

In addition to individual and regional economic gains, education plays a crucial role in promoting societal resilience and adaptability. Higher levels of educational attainment are associated with better health outcomes, lower mortality rates, and healthier lifestyles (Cutler & Lleras-Muney, 2010). Moreover, on a macroeconomic level, education enhances a country's capacity for innovation and technological adoption, supporting more dynamic and flexible labor markets (Hanushek & Woessmann, 2012). Education also facilitates knowledge transfer, supports entrepreneurship, and serves as a buffer against economic shocks.

Despite these substantial benefits, persistent inequalities remain across gender, ethnicity, and geography. Although women often experience higher relative returns to education, structural barriers limit their access to certain fields and positions, particularly in STEM disciplines and leadership roles (OECD, 2020). Similarly, ethnic and racial minorities frequently face educational disadvantages rooted in socioeconomic marginalization and institutional underfunding (Ferreira & Gignoux, 2011). Regional disparities are particularly stark in countries such as Italy, where student success in higher education is significantly influenced by the quality of secondary schooling, family background, and local economic conditions. Usala, Sulis, and Porcu (2025) show that students from vocational tracks or economically disadvantaged regions are more likely to face academic failure or drop out altogether, underscoring the critical role of educational pathways and institutional context.

While the empirical literature offers a robust foundation, it also reveals notable gaps. Many studies emphasize average effects, often overlooking variation across social groups, institutional settings, and life-course trajectories. Moreover, the rapid evolution of the labor market and technological landscape has elevated the importance of transversal competences— skills such as critical thinking, collaboration, and adaptability—which are still underrepresented in mainstream educational evaluations (OECD, 2019; Mezinska et al., 2024). In light of these insights and limitations, this study seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse by integrating evidence from multiple contexts, emphasizing the role of education in shaping inclusive and sustainable development, and exploring the institutional and social conditions under which education most effectively enhances human capital.

Methods and objectives

In the framework of this article, we discuss the appropriate systemic solutions for assigned objectives. The results of article objectives attainment must be sound and realistically achievable. The article is innovative in its essence and extends the modern approach to assessment of education not only as a private investment but as a foundational driver of inclusive and sustainable socioeconomic development. The reviewed literature provides robust evidence that education plays a transformative role in human capital development, but the magnitude and nature of its effects vary substantially depending on socioeconomic context, policy design, and institutional capacity.

This study adopts a narrative literature review approach aimed at synthesizing contemporary research on the relationship between education level and human capital development. Unlike systematic reviews that rely on predefined search algorithms and statistical meta-analysis, this review is interpretative in nature and focuses on thematic relevance, conceptual contribution, and empirical robustness.

The literature selection was guided by several inclusion criteria. First, priority was given to peer-reviewed journal articles, empirical working papers, and institutional publications (e.g., OECD, UNESCO, World Bank) that directly address education's role in shaping human capital, labor market outcomes, or regional development. Second, emphasis was placed on sources published between 2000 and 2024 to ensure temporal relevance, with a focus on studies featuring long-term effects, causal inference strategies (e.g., natural experiments, regression discontinuity), and international comparability. Third, case studies from both developed and developing countries were included to account for structural and contextual variation— particularly those analyzing large-scale educational reforms such as the reinstatement of the gaokao in Republic of China and tertiary education trends in Italy.

Sources were identified through targeted searches in databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using keywords such as "returns to education," "human capital," "education inequality," "tertiary education reform," "innovation and education," and "transversal competences." Reference lists of key articles were also reviewed to identify additional relevant sources (snowballing technique). Articles that lacked empirical grounding or were limited to anecdotal evidence were excluded.

The analysis followed a thematic coding structure. Selected studies were grouped into core categories aligned with the research objectives: (1) economic returns to education; (2) effects of educational reforms and policy interventions; (3) social and health outcomes; (4) inequality by gender, socioeconomic status, or region; and (5) emerging topics such as transversal skills and innovation. Within each category, studies were analyzed for consistency, methodological rigor, and contextual scope. The

review deliberately integrates both micro level (individual outcomes) and macro-level (regional or national impact) perspectives. While this approach does not claim exhaustiveness, it seeks to capture dominant empirical patterns and conceptual frameworks that inform current thinking on how education contributes to human capital ac cumulation. The findings are used to inform the subsequent discussion of policy relevance and research gaps.

By structuring the review thematically and integrating both micro- and macro-level perspectives, the analysis allows for a comprehensive understanding of the multiple dimensions through which education impacts individual trajectories and broader socioeconomic systems. In doing so, the study builds a foundation for critically assessing how effective current education systems are in responding to evolving labor market demands, demographic shifts, and structural inequalities.

The insights derived from the literature not only contextualize the empirical diversity across countries and policy models but also reveal persistent disparities and emerging trends that require further investigation. The next section draws on these findings to explore key issues

in greater depth—examining how different educational pathways translate into labor market outcomes, which populations benefit most or least from existing systems, and what implications arise for policymakers seeking to promote inclusive, innovation-driven, and future-ready education systems.

Findings

The analysed literature provides robust evidence that education plays a transformative role in human capital development, but the magnitude and nature of its effects vary substantially depending on socioeconomic context, policy design, and institutional capacity. Several key patterns and points of divergence emerged from the thematic analysis.

First, the economic returns from education remain consistently positive across countries and education levels, with particularly strong effects observed in low- and middle-income contexts. Higher education, in particular, generates significant income premiums, especially for women and individuals from urban areas (Psacharopoulos & Patrinos, 2018; OECD, 2020). However, these benefits are not uniform. Fields such as STEM continue to outperform others in labor market alignment, underscoring the importance of curricular relevance and skills adaptability in shaping returns.

Second, structural reforms—such as Republic of China's reinstatement of the gaokao and the Central and Western Higher Education Revitalization Plan—demonstrate that educational policy can produce long-term gains in labor market integration, innovation, and regional development (Zhang, 2023; Yang et al., 2025). These case studies highlight the potential of education not only as an individual investment, but also as a national development strategy. Nevertheless, reform outcomes depend heavily on institutional follow-through, funding equity, and regional implementation.

Third, the literature confirms that education generates a wide range of social benefits beyond income. Improved health behaviors, longer life expectancy, increased civic participation, and reduced crime rates have all been linked to higher educational attainment (Cutler & Lleras-Muney, 2010; Dee, 2004). These effects suggest that education policy can be a powerful tool for promoting social cohesion and public health, not just economic growth.

At the same time, the persistence of inequality in educational access and outcomes remains a major concern. Students from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds, ethnic minorities, and rural areas continue to face systemic barriers to quality education and upward mobility. In Italy, for example, high school type and regional origin significantly shape the likelihood of university success or dropout (Usala et al., 2025). These findings point to the limitations of formal access-focused policies if they are not accompanied by targeted support mechanisms and institutional reforms.

In summary, the evidence reviewed suggests that while education continues to be a key lever for human capital development, its impact is mediated by a range of structural, institutional, and policy factors. The discussion points to a dual imperative: improving the inclusiveness and quality of education systems while ensuring that learning outcomes are aligned with evolving societal and economic needs. These insights form the basis for the concluding section, which outlines policy implications and directions for future research.

Conclusion, originality and implications

The conducted analysis confirms that returns to education remain strong—particularly at the tertiary level—and extend beyond income to include health, civic participation, and long term employability. Case studies such as the reinstatement of the gaokao and Republic of China's regional revitalization strategy illustrate the transformative potential of targeted educational reforms. However, the evidence also underscores the persistence of deep-rooted disparities based on gender, socioeconomic status, and geography, which continue to constrain the inclusive potential of education systems.

Furthermore, the growing disconnect between traditional curricula and the competencies required in the 21st-century labor market points to an urgent need for reform. The increasing importance of transversal skills, digital literacy, and lifelong learning highlights the necessity for adaptive, learner-centered education models that respond to technological and structural change.

Policy implications arising from this review include the need for:

- Expanding access to quality education with an emphasis on equity across regions and social groups;
- Embedding transversal competencies into core curricula to better prepare students for modern labor markets;
- Strengthening data systems and longitudinal research to track the long-term impact of educational interventions;
- Enhancing partnerships between education systems and labor markets to ensure alignment between training and demand;
- Supporting underrepresented students with tailored academic, financial, and social support mechanisms.

Ultimately, education remains one of the most powerful tools for enhancing individual opportunity and societal progress. To fully realize its potential, education policy must address both the quantity and quality of learning, while responding proactively to the complex demands of a rapidly evolving global landscape.

References

1. Acemoglu, D., & Autor, D. (2011). Skills, tasks and technologies: Implications for employment and earnings. *Handbook of Labor Economics*, 4, 1043–1171. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7218(11)02410-5
2. Barro, R. J., & Lee, J. W. (2013). A new data set of educational attainment in the world, 1950–2010. *Journal of Development Economics*, 104, 184–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2012.10.001
3. Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education* (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
4. Blanden, J., & Machin, S. (2004). Educational inequality and the expansion of UK higher education. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 51(2), 230–249. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0036-9292.2004.00304.x
5. Cutler, D. M., & Lleras-Muney, A. (2010). Understanding differences in health behaviors by education. *Journal of Health Economics*, 29(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2009.10.003
6. Dee, T. S. (2004). Are there civic returns to education? *Journal of Public Economics*, 88(9– 10), 1697–1720. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2003.11.002
7. Duisenbekova, Makpal. (2023). COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE ALPHA AND Z GENERATIONS: KEY CHARACTERISTICS AND IMPLICATIONS. Eurasian Science Review An International peer-reviewed multidisciplinary journal. 1(4). DOI: 10.63034/esr-23
8. Ferreira, F. H. G., & Gignoux, J. (2011). The measurement of educational inequality: Achievement and opportunity. *World Bank Economic Review*, 25(3), 497–507. https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhr017
9. Hanushek, E. A., & Woessmann, L. (2008). The role of cognitive skills in economic development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 46(3), 607–668. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.46.3.607
10. Hanushek, E. A., & Woessmann, L. (2012). Do better schools lead to more growth? Cognitive skills, economic outcomes, and causation. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 17(4), 267–321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-012-9081-x
11. Mezinska, S., Abolina, A., & Lubkina, V. (2024). Design-driven innovation in STEM disciplines in higher education: The role and impact of transversal competences. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 10, 100429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2024.100429
12. Mincer, J. (1974). Schooling, experience, and earnings. Columbia University Press. OECD. (2019). *OECD Learning Compass 2030: A series of policy briefs on future education and skills*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/education/2030/
13. OECD. (2020). *Education at a Glance 2020: OECD Indicators*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/69096873-en
14. Oreopoulos, P., & Petronijevic, U. (2013). Making college worth it: A review of research on the returns to higher education. *The Future of Children*, 23(1), 41–65. https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2013.0001
15. Psacharopoulos, G., & Patrinos, H. A. (2018). Returns to investment in education: A decennial review of the global literature. *Education Economics*, 26(5), 445–458. https://doi.org/10.1080/09645292.2018.1484426
16. Reiskarts, V. (2024). Interdisciplinary Aspects of Industry Innovations Use in Study Process Management at University. Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management | V.-11-I.-11.003, p.p.354-365. https://doi.org/10.36347/sjebm.2024.v11i11.003
17. Usala, C., Sulis, I., & Porcu, M. (2025). Exploring the impact of high schools, socioeconomic factors, and degree programs on higher education success in Italy. *Big Data Research*, 100539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bdr.2025.100539
18. United Nations: Sustainable Development Goals. (2015), available at: https://sdgs.un.org/goals
19. Yang, H., Ma, G., & Tan, B. (2025). The impact of higher education revitalisation on regional innovation capability. *Finance Research Letters*, 75, 106908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2025.106908
20. Zhang, K. (2023). The long-term impact of higher education: Evidence from the *gaokao* reinstatement in China. *Economics of Education Review*, 97, 102488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2023.102488